<<中国古代哲学批评史>>

图书基本信息

书名: <<中国古代哲学批评史>>

13位ISBN编号:9787510405372

10位ISBN编号:7510405378

出版时间:2009-10-1

出版时间:新世界出版社

作者:何兆武,彭刚

页数:309

版权说明:本站所提供下载的PDF图书仅提供预览和简介,请支持正版图书。

更多资源请访问:http://www.tushu007.com

<<中国古代哲学批评史>>

前言

Some years ago , I was asked by the publisher to prepare a bookfor Western readers on the history of philosophy in China. Ever since accepting this invitation. I have pondered how the work should bedone and in what fo Hn it should be presented. On this topic therewere innumerable works and PaDers in circulation. And foreign works (including those by Chinese scholars) in this field are already morethan one might expect. So where should I begin my task and howshould I deal with it?

Systematic theoretical thinking has existed in China for morethan twenty.five centuries , since Confucius , undoubtedly one of theearliest and most brilliant thinkers of world civilization. The late Prof.Feng Youlan summarized it into two periods: the period of the philosophers and that of the scholastics. I regard this division as one of Prof.Feng' S keenest insights, though it was the same path taken by Western intellectual history. The antiquity of the West was an epochof classical civilization. while in the Middle Ages all that was entitled learning was scholastic study, and all thought was brought forth in the form of scholastic exegesis. This mediaeval feature was the same both in China and the west. The sole difference lay merely in the fact that China was in need of a modern age. But when considering traditional thought, the classical age certainly played a more important role than the scholasticism of the middle ages. Hence it was more worthy of our concern.

<<中国古代哲学批评史>>

内容概要

Philosophical ideas of different schools such as Confucian , Taoist , Legalist , Mohist , Nominalist , Military Strategist , Yin and Yang , and Agriculturist in periods prior to the Qin Dynasty (221-202 B.C.) are expounded and analyzed against their times in the book. Advantages and disadvantages of different theoretical constructs and their social and historical functions are also investigated from a critical perspective. In addition , the book presents the authors personal views on the category of Chinese philosophy and the relations between traditional Chinese thoughts and modem sciences.

<<中国古代哲学批评史>>

作者简介

He Zhaowu is Professor Emeritus in the Department of History at Tsinghua University , Beijing. His many publications include Essays in Critique of Historical Reason and Reflections on the History of Intellectual Intercourses Between China and the West. He is one ofthe CO-authors 0f A General Intellectual History of China and A History of Neo-Confucianism in the Song and MineDynasties (with Prof. HOU Wailu as the Editor-in-Chief) . He has also translated many western classics into Chinese. including the works 0f Kant. Pascal. Rousseau , Edmund Burke and Condorcet. Peng Gang iS Associate Professor in the Department of History at Tsinghua University. Beijiag. He is the author of Spirit. Freedom and History: A Study of the Philosophy of History of Benedetto Croce and The Narrative Turn; Recent Developments in Contemporary Western Historical Theories.

<<中国古代哲学批评史>>

书籍目录

IntroductionChapter One" Confucius and the Confucian SchoolChapter Two." Mo Zi and the Mohist SchoolChapter Three: The Mohist School and the Traditional Mode of ThinkingChapter Four: The Hundred Schools (1) Chapter Five: The Hundred Schools (2) Chapter Six: Xun ZiChapter Seven: The Taoist SchoolChapter Eight: The LegalistsEpilogue: The Traditional Mode of Thinking and Its Modernization

<<中国古代哲学批评史>>

章节摘录

The "second test" of the Mohists.i.e. "the senses of hearing and seeing of the common people should be recognized as bringing some positive factors into their epistemological theory, because it afforded proper room for our experience, from which all our knowledge is derived.

But when considered in synthesis withthe first and the third tests, its validity may appear to some extent less assured. Without doubt, all our knowledge comes from experience. But experience alonedoes not lead to knowledge. Experience provides only raw materials whichonly after a process of our mental manipulation can result in a definite form ofknowledge. Pure empiricism or knowledge through experience alone can neverbe tenable in any sense. Thus the second test of the Mohists had to resort to the first and the third tests for its availability and validity. The first test is wholly a priori by its very nature as shown above. The third test means that a truthshould be tested by its political effects. Of course, the political effects are their benefit to the country and the people. "as the Mohists would claim. But whatexactly constitutes the "benefit to the country and the people" would depend after all on how one would like to define or interpret it. Each person may havehis own view and his own interpretation. The Mohists have their own views on happiness and on the value of human life, as stated in the foregoing paragraphs. Theirs is a peculiar kind of utilitarianism which can hardly be accepted by mostpeople. for it would benefit only those who share the same idea of happinessthat the Mohists did. If happiness be accepted as a common measure of thebenefit of any policy, then this idea of happiness should have to be common toall. But if every one has an idea of happiness in his own way, then purporting to use happiness as a common measure would come to nothing. Furthermore, ifone could be happy only with the provision that one must first have ones idea of happiness converted and recast so as to match others, then it would rather bea matter of ideological remolding and reforming than a pursuit of happiness in the ordinary sense. We find in the Mohist doctrine a strange mixture of empiricism, asceticism, utilitarianism and transcendentalism in a fashion grotesque and impracticable , which went so far as to even approach the opposite of itself. These inherentshortcomings helped to explain why the Mohist school was so ephemeral and short-lived. Its utilitarianism was pushed to such extremes as to throw out allthings that would not bring fOnh immediate and direct utility (e.g.music) .A man under such circumstances , where utility is carried to such an extreme, would not be a human being but a mere instrument that could hardly enjoyhappiness in any sense. An end may perhaps justify means, but means cannever justify an end. A theory like the Mohists professed, when extended farehough, would turn to its own opposite. A truth overstated or overdone may ruminto absurdity. The Mohist teaching and practice would tend toward negating precisely the ultimate end which they emphatically professed to serve. Beesand ants toiling and moiling their whole life are mere instruments, and they can hardly be said of having any intrinsic value in their lives. But human life ought 0 be otherwise; it has its inalienable value.intrinsic in and proper to itself. It is not and ought not to be a mere means whose value exists simply and entirelyin its utility to serve some end. Otherwise, where does the difference between a human being and an ant or bee lie.in respect to their morality as well as totheir nobility? For humans, we ought to assign a human use, and not treat themsimply as tools. Consider the bees and the ants laboring year in and year out forthe benefit of their communitY, far more dedicated, concen~ated and earnest than human beings never a moment for their individual enjoyment——is this the highest perfection of the Mohist ideal?

The Mohists.it appears.lacked a sense of any humanistic value which alone could constitute the core of human life. A human being is more than a means to some utilitarian end. He is an end inhimself and by himself. Man is an instrument only conditionally , by no means an instrument alone. He has to labor in order to earn his living , but he is not amachine existing simply to earn that living. If he were only such then life would be worthless. In the last analysis, the highest state of life the Mohists preachedwould precisely reduce human beings to plain instruments. Such a utopia, oncerealized , would be a state in which all existed for one , while the one exists fornone , or rather a fascist regime in ancient dress. This is just where a demandof unconditional dedication would lead. Some persuasive talkers may say that the value of life exists in wholehearted consecration.

<<中国古代哲学批评史>>

Perhaps so. But this cannever be unconditional, that is a man cannot and should not consecrate everything of his.

<<中国古代哲学批评史>>

编辑推荐

作者简介:He Zhaowu is Professor Emeritus in the Department of History at Tsinghua University, Beijing. His many publications include Essays in Critique of Historical Reason and Reflections on the History of Intellectual Intercourses Between China and the West. He is one of the co-authors of A General Intellectual History of China and A History of Neo-Confucianism in the Song and Ming Dynasties (with Prof. Hou Wailu as the Editor-in-Chief). He has also translated many Western classics into Chinese,including the works of Kant,Pascal,Rousseau,Edmund Burke and Condorcet.

<<中国古代哲学批评史>>

版权说明

本站所提供下载的PDF图书仅提供预览和简介,请支持正版图书。

更多资源请访问:http://www.tushu007.com